

Webb, N. M., Nemer, K. M., Chizhik, A. W., & Sugrue, B. (1998). Equity issues in collaborative group assessment: Group composition and performance. *American Educational Research Journal*, 35(4), 607-651.

## References

- Awbrey, M. (1992, September). History-social science group assessment in California (high school level). Paper presented at the Conference of the National Center for Research on Evaluation, Standards, and Student Testing on *What works in performance assessment*, University of California, Los Angeles.
- Azmitia, M. (1988). Peer interaction and problem solving: When are two heads better than one? *Child Development*, 59, 87-96.
- Baker, D. P., & Salas, E. (1992). Principles for measuring teamwork skills. *Human Factors*, 34, 469-475.
- Baron, J. B. (1994, April). *Using multi-dimensionality to capture verisimilitude: Criterion-referenced performance-based assessments and the ooze factor*. Paper presented at the Annual Meeting of the American Educational Research Association, New Orleans.
- Bartlett, L. D. (1992). Students successfully grapple with lessons of history in innovative group performance tasks. *Social Education*, 56, 101-102.
- Bossert, S. T. (1988-1989). Cooperative activities in the classroom. *Review of Research in Education*, 15, 225-252.
- Brannick, M. T., Prince, A., Prince, C., & Salas, E. (1995). The measurement of team process. *Human Factors*, 37, 641-651.
- Brennan, R. I. (1992). *Elements of generalizability theory* (Rev. ed.). Iowa City, IA: American College Testing.
- Buras, O. K. (1974). *Tests in print II*. Highland Park, NJ: Gryphon Press.
- California State Department of Education. (1985). *Mathematics framework for California public schools, kindergarten through grade twelve*. Sacramento, CA: California Department of Education.
- California State Department of Education. (1992). *Mathematics framework for California public schools, kindergarten through grade twelve*. Sacramento, CA: California Department of Education.
- Cohen, B. P., & Cohen, E. G. (1991). From groupwork among children to R&D teams: Interdependence, interaction, and productivity. *Advances in Group Processes*, 8, 205-225.
- Cohen, E. G. (1994). Restructuring the classroom: Conditions for productive small groups. *Review of Educational Research*, 64, 1-36.
- Connecticut State Board of Education. (1987) *Common core of learning*. Hartford, CN: Connecticut State Board of Education.
- Connecticut State Board of Education. (1992). *Connecticut Academic performance Test*. Hartford, CN: Connecticut State Board of Education.
- Fall, J. R., Webb, N. M., & Wise, N. (1995, April). *Group discussion and large-scale language arts assessment: Effects on students' comprehension*. Paper presented at the Annual Meeting of the American Educational Research Association, San Francisco.
- Fuchs, I. S., Fuchs, D., Hamlett, C. I., & Karns, K. (1998). High-achieving students' interactions and performance on complex mathematical tasks as a function of homogeneous and heterogeneous pairings. *American Educational Research Journal*, 35, 227-267.
- Hackman, R. (1990). *Groups that work (and those that don't): Creating conditions for effective teamwork*. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.
- Hastie, R. (1986). Experimental evidence on group accuracy. In B. Grofman & G. Guillermo (Eds.), *Information pooling and group decision making* (pp. 129-157). Greenwich, CT: JAI Press.

- Hooper, S., & Hannafin, M.). (1988). Cooperative CBI: The effects of heterogeneous versus homogeneous grouping on the learning of progressively complex concepts. *Journal of Educational Computing Research*, 4, 413-424.
- Hooper, S., Ward, T., Hannafin, M., & Clark, H. T. (1989). The effects of aptitude composition on achievement during small group learning. *Journal of Computer Based Instruction*, 16, 102-109.
- Kansas State Board of Education. (1993). *Kansas curricular standards for science*. Topeka, KS: Author.
- Laughlin, P. R., & Branch, L. G. (1972). Individual versus tetradic performance on a complementary task as a function of initial ability level. *Organizational Behavior and Human Performance*, 8, 201-216.
- Laughlin, P. R., & Ellis, A. I. (1986). Demonstrability and social combination processes on mathematical intellectual tasks. *Journal of Experimental Social Psychology*, 22, 177-189.
- Linn, R. (1993). Educational assessment: Expanded expectations and challenges. *Educational Evaluation and Policy Analysis*, 15, 1-16.
- Lomask, M., Baron, L., Greigh, J., & Harrison, C. (1992, March). *ConnMap: Connecticut's use of concept mapping to assess the structure of students' knowledge of science*. Symposium presented at the meeting of the National Association of Research in Science Teaching, Cambridge, MA.
- Lou, Y., Abrami, P. C., Spence, L. C., Poulsen, C., Chambers, B., & d'Apollonia, S. (1996). Within-class ability grouping: A meta-analysis. *Review of Educational Research*, 66, 423-458.
- Maryland State Department of Education. (1994). *Maryland School Performance Assessment Program: Public release tasks*. Baltimore, MD: Author.
- Mathematical Sciences Education Board, National Research Council. (1989). *Everybody counts: A report to the nation of the future of mathematics education*. Washington, DC: National Academy Press.
- Mathematical Sciences Education Board, National Research Council. (1993). *Measuring up: prototypes for mathematics assessment*. Washington, DC: National Academy Press.
- Moreland, R. I., & Levine, L. M. (1992). The composition of small groups. *Advances in Group Processes*, 9, 237-280.
- Mugny, G., & Doise, W. (1978). Socio-cognitive conflict and structure of individual and collective performances. *European journal of Social Psychology*, 8, 181-192.
- Neuberger, W. (1993, September). *Making group assessments fair measures of students' abilities*. Paper presented at the National Center for Research on Evaluation, Standards, and Student Testing's Conference on Assessment questions: Equity answers, University of California, Los Angeles.
- O'Neil, H. F., Allred, K., & Baker, E. I. (1992). *Measurement of workforce readiness: Review of theoretical frameworks* (CSE Tech. Rep. No. 343). Los Angeles: University of California, Center for Research on Evaluation, Standards, and Student Testing (CRESST).
- Pandey, T. (1991). *A sampler of mathematics assessment*. Sacramento, CA: California Department of Education.
- Pomplun, M. (1996). Cooperative groups: Alternative assessment for students with disabilities? *The Journal of Special Education*, 30, 1-17.
- Salas, E., Dickinson, T. I., Converse, S. A., & Tannenbaum, S. I. (1992). Toward an understanding of team performance and training. In R. W. Swezey & E. Salas (Eds.), *Teams: Their training and performance* (pp. 132-160). Norwood, NJ: Ablex.
- Saner, H., McCaffrey, D., Stecher, B., Klein, S., & Bell, R. (1994). The effects of working in pairs in science performance assessments. *Educational Assessment*, 2, 325-338.
- Secretary's Commission on Achieving Necessary Skills. (1991, June). *What work requires of schools. A SCANS report for America 2000*. Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Labor, The Secretary's Commission on Achieving Necessary Skills (SCANS).

- Shavelson, R. J., & Baxter, G. P. (1992). What we've learned about assessing hands-on science. *Educational Leadership*, 4.9(8), 20-25.
- Shavelson, R. J., & Webb, N. M. (1991). *Generalizability theory: A primer*. Newbury Park, CA: Sage.
- Shipman, V. C. (1985). *The New Jersey Test of Reasoning Skills*. Totowa, NJ: Totowa Board of Education.
- Skon, L., Johnson, D. W., & Johnson, R. T. (1981). Cooperative peer interaction versus individual competition and individualistic efforts: Effects on the acquisition of cognitive reasoning strategies. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 73, 83-92.
- Slavin, R. E. (1990). *Cooperative learning: Theory, research, and practice*. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice-Hall.
- State Student Assessment Programs Database, School Year 1994-1995*. (1996). Washington, DC: Council of Chief State School Officers [Producer], Oak Brook, IL: North Central Regional Lab [Distributor].
- Webb, N. M. (1980). A process-outcome analysis of learning in group and individual settings. *Educational Psychologist*, 15, 69-83.
- Webb, N. M. (1991). Task-related verbal interaction and mathematics learning in small groups. *Journal for Research in Mathematics Education*, 22, 366-389.
- Webb, N. M. (1995). Group collaboration in assessment: Multiple objectives, processes, and outcomes. *Educational Evaluation and Policy Analysis*, 17, 239-261.
- Webb, N. M., & Farivar, S. (in press). Developing productive group interaction in middle school mathematics. In A. M. O'Donnell & A. King (Eds.), *Cognitive perspectives on peer learning*. Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum.
- Webb, N. M., & Palincsar, A. S. (1996). Group processes in the classroom. In D. Berliner & R. Calfee (Eds.), *Handbook of educational psychology* (pp. 841-873). New York: Macmillan.
- Webb, N. M., Troper, J. D., & Fall, R. (1995). Constructive activity and learning in collaborative small groups. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 87, 406-423.
- Winer, B. L. (1971). *Statistical principles in experimental design*. New York: McGraw-Hill.
- Wise, N., & Behuniak, P. (1993, April). *Collaboration in student assessment*. Paper presented at the Annual Meeting of the American Educational Research Association, Atlanta.